

January 22, 1976

straight forward and law-abiding. Are you all of those things? Well, to the best of my ability, that is what I am going to be. And for those who support the Resolution, spare me this year what I have gone through in other years on issues like this. The Doctor Jekyll, Mr Hyde syndrome becomes difficult after a time to deal with and to accept. Senator Kremer, nothing I have said is directed against you and we understand each other, I believe. What I am saying is an expression of my own personal convictions, and as long as they are my convictions, that is the way I am going to vote, pardon the expression, come hell or high water, and the right to life people and all these others have a right to do anything they want to do, but it might have been a lot better if they had of let those beautiful flowers continue to grow in their natural state than to take their life to make a point here because there are some people who think more of plants than they do of human beings and that woman who threatened to kill the President is one of them.

PRESIDENT: Senator Schmit.

SENATOR SCHMIT: Mr. President and members of the Legislature, I hadn't intended to speak in the issue but Senator Chambers knows he can always turn my light on and I admire him because he speaks his piece and I know he speaks it honestly. But he refers to consistency and I want to point out to him that I pride myself upon consistency and I don't think, as Senator Carpenter used to say, that that is the mark of small minds but I do support both the unborn and the living. I have done it consistently throughout my legislative career and intend to continue to do so. I would hope that those of us that support Senator Kremer's Resolution would be just as adamant in the defense of the rights of the living as is Senator Chambers, and I think in most cases that is true. I know that there are instances where Senator Chambers feels that we are not that way but I would hope that by virtue of the passage of this Resolution we would not accept the mandate of the Supreme Court as being final. The Supreme Court are wise men but they Supreme Court are men. They are not infallible. They have rendered a decision which is foreign to my way of thinking. It is a decision which, I think, needs to be challenged, albeit in a very improper way, perhaps, or ineffective way, but the fact remains that not to challenge it would mean that we perhaps accepted it as final. Those of us who do not accept it as final must, therefore, challenge it. We challenge it in the manner in which Senator Kremer has outlined. Now I would hope that those of us who also would like to do something more positive would react to the suggestions of Senator Chambers and attempt to do that, which he, perhaps, has felt we would not do and I think he has raised a good point. But when he refers to butcher shops, surely we do not condone that activity. Surely we are not going to resign ourselves to the inevitable and I would hope that eventually those who today may appear to be at opposite ends of an issue could work together to do as Senator Chambers had indicated protect not only the rights of the unborn but certainly the rights of the